

# Contents

<b>List of figures .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of tables.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Foreword.....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>1. An Introduction to Rochelongue Shipwreck .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Significance.....	1
1.3 Research purpose .....	2
1.3.1 Research Questions.....	2
1.3.2 Aims .....	2
1.4 The Mediterranean context .....	3
1.5 The connected past: mobility, migration and connectivity .....	3
1.6 Conclusions.....	4
<b>2. Background: The Late Bronze and Early Iron Age in Southern France.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 The geographical area of study .....	5
2.2 Chronology of the Late Bronze–to-Early Iron Age Transition (ninth–seventh centuries B.C.) .....	6
2.3 The local actors .....	9
2.3.1 Social, cultural and political landscape (LBA–EIA transition) .....	9
2.3.2 Launac and the Launaciens .....	13
2.4 The external actors.....	14
2.4.1 The Metal as motivation .....	14
2.4.2 Phoenicians .....	15
2.4.3 Greeks .....	16
2.4.4 Etruscans .....	18
2.5 Conclusion .....	19
<b>3. Research Background—Rochelongue and the Launaciens .....</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	21
3.2 The site.....	21
3.2.1 Rochelongue discovery and excavation.....	22
3.3 The assemblage.....	28
3.3.1 Rochelongue underwater site: local or foreign? .....	29
3.3.2 Rochelongue underwater site. Shipwreck or votive deposit? .....	29
3.3.3 Material distribution on the site .....	32
3.3.4 Rochelongue underwater site. A contact zone? .....	33
3.4 Conclusion .....	33
<b>4. Re-Connecting The Sea. Social Network Analysis and Actor Network Theory in Shipwreck Archaeology .....</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	35
4.2 Materiality and scale.....	36
4.3 Actor network theory .....	36
4.4 Social network analysis .....	37
4.4.1 Social psychology and networks.....	37
4.4.2 Social anthropology and networks.....	38
4.5 Archaeological Network Analysis .....	39
4.5.1 Why use Network Analysis in Archaeology? .....	40
4.5.2 Network Analysis and Maritime Connectivity .....	41
4.6 Conclusion .....	42
<b>5. Methods.....</b>	<b>43</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	43
5.2 Permits and collaboration .....	43

5.3 The catalogue.....	43
5.3.1 Metal recording.....	44
5.3.2 The minimum number of individuals.....	44
5.4 The provenance studies (LIA, EDXRF, ICP-MS) .....	46
5.4.1 Portable energy dispersive X-Ray fluorescence (EDXRF).....	47
5.4.2 Lead isotope analysis (LIA) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) .....	47
5.4.3 Proposed sampling method and sample treatment.....	48
5.5 Maritime connectivity model.....	49
5.5.1 Network analysis model.....	49
5.5.2 Two-mode and ego-network-model .....	49
5.5.3 The Use of a Georeferenced Information System in Maritime connectivity.....	51
5.5.4 The Vessel .....	51
5.5.5 Modelling distance and time across the sea.....	52
5.5.6 Model analysis .....	55
5.6 Conclusion .....	55
<b>6. Results .....</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1. Introduction.....	57
6.2. Artefacts Recording .....	57
6.2.1 Chronological considerations.....	74
6.3. Metallurgical analyses and applications .....	75
6.3.1 Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (pXRF).....	75
6.3.2 pXRF data reliability.....	76
6.3.3 Results of elemental analysis.....	77
6.3.4 Cumulative rate of impurities .....	79
6.3.5 Lead Isotope Analysis .....	79
6.4. The Maritime Connectivity Model .....	82
6.4.1 Two-mode network .....	87
6.4.2 Ego network .....	89
6.4.3 Geo-referential Information System applied to Maritime Model .....	90
6.5. Conclusion .....	96
<b>7. Rochelongue Shipwreck and the Contact Zone .....</b>	<b>97</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	97
7.2. Defining Rochelongue .....	97
7.3. Cultural connectivity: the contact zone .....	103
7.4 Conclusion .....	110
<b>8. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Appendix 1 .....</b>	<b>139</b>